From: Psalm91 < igtthvctrydawn@aol.com>
Date: November 16, 2021 at 5:20:20 PM EST

To: "Barney, Sheila" <<u>s.barney@quadient.com</u>>, "Barney, Sheila" <<u>sheilabarney@optonline.net</u>>

Cc: Terry Adams < terryadam@optonline.net>, Sue Halpern < sunnysue1122@optimum.net>, Nydia Brown

< Nbrown6733@aol.com >, Elizabeth McCauley < mccauleyeliz3@yahoo.com >, "Dell, Theresa"

<TDell@stamfordct.gov>

Subject: Re: [External Email] Pacific St properties on Tuesday night agenda.

Good Evening!

As one whose property is involved with a prospective change in my neighborhood, I am responding to Application 221-29 Pacific Street LLC Development. I am opposed to the plan that is presented due to HPAC having concerns about not only the height(s) and the repairs that must be done to the existing church in order to get the historical bonus. This includes the historical repair that needs to also be done at 171 Henry Street for the exact same reason.

I am also concerned against the setback pertaining to my family property, which should be 20 feet from 175 Henry Street property line which would be the rear of the development. The concern is so that my family can maintain some sort of privacy, which would not be afforded due to the construction(s).

Also the driveway being used as an exit at 171 does not give a 4 feet buffer which would create an unsafe condition to my property and it's inhabitants.

I do agree with the 5 ft. high and step down on Pacific and to 4 ft. high and step down on Henry and Ludlow Street.

Another concern that I have is how would parking be created for the business that will go to the Fire Station. Due to the fact that the parking that was created for the Fire Station is now being recreated by Pacific Street LLC. I need some clarification, please.

Rev Dr Dawn C Snell 175 Henry Street Stamford,

CT

Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS