



RECEIVED

DEC 15 1988

CITY & TOWN
STAMFORD, CON

CITY OF STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT
INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

September 27, 1982

Mr. Michael Wiederlight





Dear Mr. Wiederlight:

The Board of Ethics has considered the testimony offered at the hearing of September 15 and has reached the following conclusions and recommendations.


1. On the occasions of your votes on the appointments of members of the Golf Authority, you were not in conflict of interest, as defined in the Code of Ethics.
2. With respect to future votes on similar occasions, the Board recommends that you use your own discretion on an individual basis. Bear in mind, however, that it is incumbent on public officials, not only to avoid a conflict of interest but even the appearance of a conflict. This will insure continuation of public confidence in such officials.
3. The Board further recommends that you file a voluntary disclosure of financial interest, as described in Ordinance #445. In fact, the Board recommends such a filing on the part of all city officials and employees, who directly, or indirectly, do business with any city agency, or contemplate doing so. This would eliminate any misconceptions on the part of the public and serve to maintain the highest relationships between the public and its elected and appointed officials.

BOARD OF ETHICS


Raymond Humiston


Allen A. Kaltman


William Menapace


Athanasios Loter, Chairman