## APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF ADDITIONS TO THE STAMFORD CULTURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (CRT)

Complete, notorize, and forward nine (9) hard copies and one (1) electronic copy in PDF format to Clerk of the Zoning Board.
NOTE: For Applicants requesting bonuses pursuant to Section 7.3.C shall be required to pay a $\$ 500$ per property for enlistment on the Cultural Resources Inventory pursuant to Sec. 29-6.2 .of the Stamford Code. No fee required if no bonuses are sought at the time of application for enlistment on the Cultural Resources Inventory. LAND RECORDS RECORDING FEE: $\$ 60.00$ for First page $-\$ 5.00$ for each additional page)
$\square$ THIS APPLICATION IS FOR LISTING OF PROPERTIES ON THE CRI ONLY (No bonuses sought).

THIS APPLICATION IS FOR LISTING OF PROPERTIES ON THE CR IN CONJUNCTION WITH BONUSES SOUGHT UNDER SECTION 7.3.C (Please attach letter supporting the listing written by a Qualified Historic Preservation Expert.)


STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE \& APPLICABLE CULTURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY CRITERIA
(Mark " $x$ " in one or more boxes for the criteria qualifying the property for Cultural Resources Inventory listing.)
A. PROPERTY IS ASSOCIATED WITH EVENTS THAT HAVE MADE A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION TO THE BROAD PATTERNS OF STAMFORD'S HISTORY.
B. PROPERTY IS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LIVES OF PERSONS SIGNIFICANT IN STAMFORD'S PAST.
C. PROPERTY EMBODIES THE DISTINCTIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF A TYPE, PERIOD, OR METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION OR REPRESENTS THE WORK OF A MASTER, OR POSSESSES HIGH ARTISTIC VALUES, OR REPRESENTS A SIGNIFICANT AND DISTINGUISHABLE ENTITY WHOSE COMPONENTS LACK INDIVIDUAL DISTINCTION.D. PROPERTY HAS YIELDED, OR IS LIKELY TO YIELD, INFORMATION IMPORTANT IN PREHISTORY OR HISTORY.

NARRATIVE STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE (Please include/attach a Statement with at least one paragraph for each area of significance. Attach additional sheets, if necessary)
16 REMINGTON $S$ - WAS HISTORKALLI SIGNIFICANT PRIMARILY BECAUSE IT REALECIBD A AARTICULAR ASPECT OF THE SOUTH ENDS ETNIC HISTORy, BEING LOCAIAOA IN THE LARGO GREYROCN MANOR SUBDIVISIM, LAIN OUT IN 1908 -1911 Mans SETTLED ALmOST EXCLUSIVELY By POLISH ANS UKRAINIAN FAMILIES

ARCHITECTURALLY THE HONE WAS Yypicule of THE NEIGHITBORHOASS.

ATTACH THE FOLLOWING IN SUPPORT OF THE CRI DESIGNATION:

1. Site survey
2. Site and building photographs along with a key map and description/title of photographs
3. National/State/Local historic register documentation if applicable
4. Other documents supporting architectural/cultural significance such as journal articles or news/book references if applicable.
5. Letter from Qualified Historic Preservation Expert (For CRI listing in conjunction with Section 7.3.C bonuses).

NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNERS OF ALL PROPERTIES INVOLVED IN REQUEST:
NAME \& ADDRESS OF OWNER
ADDRESS OF PROPERTIES IN CRI REQUEST

DATED AT STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT, THIS $\qquad$ DAY OF
 $20-23$

SIGNED:


NOTE: If applicant wishes to withdraw the application, this must be done in writing, and be received by the Land Use Bureau at least three (3) working days prior to public hearing in order to provide sufficient time to publicize the withdrawal. Applications withdrawn less than three (3) days prior to a scheduled hearing date will not be rescheduled within 90 days.


## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

APPL. \#: CRI $\qquad$ Received in the office of the Zoning Board: Date: $\qquad$
Referred to Historic Preservation Advisory Commission Date: $\qquad$
$B y$ : $\qquad$

Fee collected for CRI listing in conjunction with Section 7.3.C bonuses
No Fee required for CRI listing only

# CITY OF STAMFORD HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY COMMISSION 

888 WASHINGTON BOULEVARD
STAMFORD, CT 06904-2152

To: Vineeta Mathur, Land Use Bureau<br>From: David W. Woods, AIA, NCARB, LEED-AP<br>Subject: Addendum to Commission Resolution for 16 Remington Street ZB Application \#223-04 - Site \& Architectural Plans and Requested Uses, Special Permit, Coastal Site Plan Review and addition to the Cultural Resources Inventory.

Date: June 14, 2023 (Meeting Date: June 6, 2023)
At the HPAC regular public meeting held Tuesday, June 6, 2023, the Commission was given a follow up presentation and design update for the 16 Remington Street project. These notes refer to the presentation that was given on March 7, 2023 and the Resolution that was issued on March 8, 2023. The following is a record of the Commission's review. This matter did not require a vote of the Commission as it is an update of a previous Resolution. It was agreed in the Resolution that design comments or review can be online, via email, to the Commission. This presentation was provided in person. We note for the record one member was not in attendance and has been canvassed for comments to add to this Addendum Letter.

The following is a record of the Commission's discussion, noted here by each section of the original Resolution.

1. The height of the roof of the "new" structure that is behind the reconstructed front building looks too tall to the Commission. The owner did not follow the Commission's suggestion that the roof could be lowered to a midpoint of the third story windows. However, the Commission does recognize the efforts of the owner to lower the roof. It was generally agreed the issue of the height of the new structure should be reviewed as a Zoning issue, with special consideration for neighbor's comments.
2. The Commission noted the owner has agreed to change the windows on the front structure as requested and will be double-hung and white in color. The owner did not change the "new" back structure windows, as was requested in the Resolution. They remain as casement windows and in a black color. There was considerable discussion of this item. The majority agreed the original Resolution statement should remain. The back structure windows should match the front building, with double-hung windows and in a white color. The discussion centered around the importance this building has in a historic district and that the owners have agreed to a "Critical Reconstruction" under Section 7.3 of the Zoning Regulations. The owner has also requested bonuses allowed under Section 7.3. Therefore, the Commission feels they have a heightened responsibility for supporting historic architecture in the historic district and request the owner comply with the original Resolution.
3. The owner has agreed to use James Hardie cementitious siding for both structures, and the color "Polar White."
4. It is understood the building section has been corrected.
5. The owner indicated they have agreed with the detail suggestions itemized in Nos. 5a through k. The Commission appreciates the owners work on the historic details.
6. Under the original Item No. 6 there is a question about the basement windows. The owner now requests one window be made larger for the basement occupied space. The question from the first meeting was about the use of that space and if it is allowed. In this meeting it was reported by the owner that the height and the size of one window is required for both, a sill height requirement and means of egress dimension. The Commission noted they are not aware of these requirements for basement windows. The Commission generally agreed the issue is most likely resolved by the Building Department and the Fire Marshal. If those officials require the window as it is shown, it will be allowed by the Commission. The Commission prefers smaller windows in a pair configuration and with additional sill height off of the driveway for better construction.

HPAC understands the Land Use Boards and the Building Department may have other considerations that are beyond the scope of HPAC recommendations. Those may include bonuses, set-backs, parking and landscape improvements, which are not part of HPAC review.

David W. Woods, AIA, NCARB
Chair, Historic Preservation Advisory Commission
Canvassed: Rebecca Shannonhouse, Barry Hersh and Elena Kalman

16 Remington Street, Stamford, CT
Description
by Nils Kerschus
November, 2022

Located in the South End National Register Historic District, 16 Remingtow Street was a front-gabled, wood-framed dwelling built in 1923 on the north side of the street, initiating a row of early $20^{+ \text {th }}$-century houses (Items $1 \times 2$ ). Set on a concrete-block foundation, the structure was originally covered with wood shingles and fenestrated with one-over-one or six-over-ore windows, neither design definitely apparent from existing photographs. The symmetrical, 112 -story facade featured an almost fulllength porch supported by four Tuscan columns resting on a woodshingled rail supported by four, corresponding concrete-block (possibly brick) piers. The entrance to the porch was at its left (west) end, accessed by eight full-width steps. The centrally placed front door was paneled at the lower half and glazed with a single glass pane at the upper half. Flanking it were two single windows. The second story was fenestrated with a centrally placed, paired wind ow. (Photographs 1-3).

The west elevation was fenestrated, from the left, by a single window followed by two paired windows at the first story. The second stony featured a large gabled dormer rising above the centrally placed paired window and also lit by a paired window (Photographs 2, 4, \&5). The east elevation was similar but entirely symmetrical in design, showing paired windows flanking a centrally placed, slightly smaller window at the first story while a gabled donmer-lit by a paired window rose directly above the first story's central wind ow (Photograph 6).

The rear (north) elevation was dominated by a two-stony back porch o added around 1926 when the city directory showed that the house had been converted to a two-family dwelling. Although Photograph 8 shows
that the first story was three bays in length, with a single window to the right, the stairs to the upstairs apartment obscures the ledt and central bay. Since the porch was not as long as the front porch, supported by three posts instead of four, it is likely that the lest bay showed a single window while the near door was located off-center to the left in order to access the rear porch. The second story retained its central, paired window, identical to that of the facade, while acquiring a near door immediately to the left, accessing the rear porch. The railings had been obviously installed at different times, showing a cross-hatched design at the second story and a combination of vertical stick railings and horizontal rails at the first story and the stairs to the second stony (Photograph 7 \& 8).
Significance
16 Remington Street was historically significant primarily because it reflected a particular aspect of the South End's ethnic history, being located in the large Greyrock Manor subdivision, la id out in 1908-191 and settled almost exclusively by Polish and UKrainian families, The original rouser of the house was Theodore Garak (a,k,a, Sidor Gieriak) who built the house in 1923. In the 1920 Census Sidor and his wide Josephine were listed as Carpatho-Russiam in origin, referring to that portion of western Ukraine traversed by the Carpathian Mountains. During the 1920s the city directory listed Garak (now identified as Theodore) as employed by the Yale \& Toune Lock works, located only three blocks to the north. The lockworks not only employed most of the South End's residents but was the largest employer in the entire city, dominating its economy for decades, during which time Stamford became known as the "hock City".

Architecturally the house was typical of the neighborhood's

Photograph Index

1. Facade (South elevation)
2. Facade aud west elevation
3. Front porch steps
4. West elevation, induding basement.
5. West elevation
6. East elevation
7. West elevation and rear (north) elevation
8. Rear (north) elevation

Item Index

1. South End Historic District - Map
2. South. End Historic District - Inventory
originally one-family houses but was notable for the retention of its open front pore, not becoming enclosed like most examples of this type. Also interesting was the symmetry of its facade and east elevation, details not apparent on most vemacular dwellings. The house's large gabled dormers al so revealed an intrinsic sense of design that overroled a cheaper, shed-nofed construction which would have kew expected in a situation where economics usually took preceduce over any minimal stylistic considerations. Likewise, the front porch's Tuscan columns cost more than simpler, four-sided posts.
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# United States Department of the Interior National Park Service <br> National Register of Historic Places Inventory-Nomination Form <br> South End Historic District, Stamford, CT 

date entered

Description
Item number
Page
(C), 24 Pulaski Street, 1928, Vernacular.
(C), 25 Pulaski Street, Peter de Mill House, c. 1780 , Vernacular with Federal elements (Photograph \#6).
(C), 32-36 Pulaski Street, 1927, Vernacular.
(C), 35 Pulaski Street, 1922, Industrial Vernacular (Photograph \#5).
(C), Pulaski Street at Mill River, Pulaski Street Bridge (Oliver Street Bridge), 1887, wrought-iron lenticular through-truss bridge, Berlin Iron Bridge Co. (Photograph \#5).
(C), 16 Remington Street, 1923, Vernacular.
(C), 20 Remington Street, 1929, Vernacular.
(C), 26 Remington Street, 1928, Vernacular.
(C), 29 Remington Street, 1926, Vernacular with Colonial Revival elements.
(C), 30 Remington Street, 1913, Vernacular.
(C), 5 Rugby Street, 1912, Vernacular.
(C), 6 Rugby Street, 1930, Vernacular.
(C), 7 Rugby Street, 1920, Vernacular.
(C), 9 Rugby Street, 1923, Vernacular.
(NC), 10 Rugby Street, 1948, Industrial Vernacular.
(C), 13 Rugby Street, 1917, Vernacular.
(C), 18 Rugby Street, 1922, Vernacular.
(C), 19 Rugby Street, 1922, Vernacular.
(NC), 21 Rugby Street, 1952, Vernacular with Cape Cod elements.
C), 26 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular with Italianate elements.
(NC), 28 Stone Street, 1981, Industrial Vernacular.
(C), 30 Stone Street, c.1890, Vernacular.
(C), 50 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 52 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 54 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 56 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 58 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 60 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 62 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 66 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 68 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 70 Stone Street, c.1870, Vernacular.
(C), 217 Washington Boulevard, 1928, Vernacular.
(C), 225 Washington Boulevard, c.1890, Queen Anne.
(C), 255 Washington Boulevard, c.1895, Queen Anne
(C), 256 Washington Boulevard, 1907, Dutch Colonial Revival.
(C), $256 \dot{r}^{\circ}$ Washington Boulevard, 1917, Vernacular.
(C), 266 Washington Boulevard, 1911, Dutch Colonial Revival.
(C), 269 Washington Boulevard, c.1895, Queen Anne (Photograph \#10).
(C), 273 Washington Boulevard, 1911, Colonial Revival.
(C), 274 Washington Boulevard, 1907, Vernacular with Colonial Revival elements.
(C), 281 Washington Boulevard, c.1895, Queen Anne.
(C), 287 Washington Boulevard, c.1895, Queen Anne.
(C), 297 Washington Boulevard, Emmanuel Pentecostal Church, c.1895, Queen Anne.



Photograph 3, Frout steps


Photograph 4, West Elevation


Rhotograph 5, West Eleration


Photograph 6, East Eleration


Photograph T, West Elevation \& Rear (North) Elevation (to the left)


Photograph 8, Rear (North) Elevation




APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL PERMIT
Complete, motorize, and forward thirteen (13) hard copies and (1) electronic copy in PDF formal co erk of the Zoning Board with a $\$ 1,000.00$ Public Hearing Fee and the required application filling fee (see Fee Schedule below), payable to the City of Stamford.
NOTE: Cost of required advertisements are payable by the Applicant and performance of required mailings surrounding property owners is the sole responsibility of the applicant. LAND RECORDS RECORDING FEE $\$ 60.00$ for First page $\$ 5.00$ for each additional page)


APPLiCANT PHONE $\quad 203-858-2514$ Y\&S
IS APPLICANT AN OWNER OF PROPERTY IN THE CITY OF STAMFORD?
LOCATION OF PROPERTY IN STAMFORD OWNED BY APPLICANT (S):
$\qquad$
ADDRESS OF SUBJECT PROPERTY: $\qquad$ 16 RIFMINGIONST
RM PRESENT ZONING DISTRICT: $\qquad$ timleof site plans a architectural plans: IM PROUMIENI LOCAIIION MLAP PREPARES FOR DARIUS Z LESNHEWSKI
$\qquad$ EXISTING DUELING.
REQUESTED SPECIAL PERMIT: (Attach written statement describing request) NEXT PAGE
$\qquad$
100 \% \%
$\qquad$
NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNERS OF ALL PROPERTY INVOLVED IN REQUEST:
TOL CLERK BLOCK \#96 NAME \& ADDRESS LOCATION
16REMINGION si

DARIUS LESNITENSICI
35 DICKINSON INA
DOES ANY PORTION OF THE PREMISES AFFECTED BY THIS APPLICATION LIE WITHIN 500 FEET OF THE BORDER LINE WITH GREENWICH, DARIEN OR NEW CANAAN? $\qquad$ (If yes, notification must be sent to Town Clerk of neighboring community by registered mail within 7 days of receipt of application - PA 87-307.
DOES THE PROJECT RESULT IN THE CREATION OF 10 OR MORE UNITS OR 10,000 SF OR MORE IN FLOOR AREA OR DISTURBANCE OF 20,000 SF OR MORE IN LAND AREA, THROUGH NEW DEVELOPMENT, RECONSTRUCTION. ENLARGEMENT OR SUBSTANTIAL ALTERATIONS? $\qquad$ (If yes, then complete the Stamford Sustainability Scorecard per Section 15.F).

The special permits sought are as follows:

- The R-MF zoning allows $30 \%$ building coverage ( 1573 SF ). Section 7.3 allows $25 \%$ increase, allowing for a maximum of 1,966 S.F. Our proposal calls for 1,820 S.F.
- Density increase $50 \%$
- The R-MF zoning allows $\min 30^{\prime}$ rear setback. Section 7.3 allows $50 \%$ decrease, allowing for a minimum $15^{\prime}$ from the rear line. Our proposal calls for $23^{\prime}$
- Special Permit under Section 7.3 allows required parking space of one (1) space per dwelling unit.
- Special Permit request to reduce the Light and Air requirement by $50 \%$. The current requirement is 20 ft . After reduction to 10 ft , the Applicant can provide $8^{\prime}$ on their property, given that the neighboring property is subject to the same regulation.

DATED AT STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT, THIS

$\qquad$ DAY OF $\qquad$ 20 $\qquad$ SIGNED:


NOTE: Application cannot be scheduled for Public Hearing until 35 days have elapsed from the date of referral to the Stamford Planning Board. If applicant wishes to withdraw application, please notify the Zoning Board at least three (3) days prior to Public Hearing so that the Board may have sufficient time to publicize the withdrawal.


FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
APPL.\#. $\qquad$ Received in the office of the Zoning Board: Date: $\qquad$
$B y:$ $\qquad$

Revised 09/02/2020

## Project description

## Applicant: Dariusz Lesniewski

Location: 16 Remington St. Stamford CT

## 1. Existing and Prior Conditions

Built in 1923, 16 Remington St is located in R-MF Multiple Family Residence Design District. It was a 1 $3 / 4$ story, multi family home totaling of 2 dwelling units that contained: 4 bedrooms, 2 bathrooms, covered front porch and rear deck with stairs. Single car, detached garage was also located on the back of the property. The lot is 5,244 square foot and is located in the South End neighborhood in Stamford CT and it's listed on the South End Historic District as a contributing building.

Early in 2021, during initial house renovation, existing building was demolished mainly due to discovered damage to structural members and overall poor condition of the structure. Detached garage was also demolished at the same time in order to free necessary square footage to the new proposed structure.

## 2. Proposed Development

Proposed development includes a partial reconstruction of historic house and construction of two new townhouses attached to be rear of the historic house. Combination of allowable building coverage and required parking spaces, allows only for reconstruction of the first 20 feet of historic building together with the front covered porch.

Reconstructed historic house "Unit A" remains $13 / 4$ stories, single family with 2 bedrooms and 2 bathrooms on the upper floor and additional bedroom and bathroom in the basement. The first floor is to have kitchen, living room and half bathroom. Total proposed living area of the historic building will be 1120 SF. During reconstruction, an extra care will be taken to ensure that historic building would come back to its original shape and curb appeal including but not limited to: front porch with Tuscan columns, windows and doors type and placement, roof pitch line with overhangs and dormers, however, the final use of all the architectural details will be decided after consultation with HPAC.

Newly proposed townhouses "Unit B and C"; 4 stories, identical in size, floor layout and each approximately $\quad 2300$ SF of living floor area will be located at the back of the historic house. Each townhouse to have: one car garage, and mudroom on the lower level, kitchen, living room and half bath on the second floor, 2 bedrooms and 2 full bathrooms on the third floor and 2 more bedrooms and 1 full bathroom on the fourth floor.
Proposed development will be located towards the front of the zoning lot, with parking incorporated into the building via garages and a space at the rear of the building, accessed by a two-way driveway at an existing curb cut on Remington

## 3. Conformity with the Master Plan

The property is located in Master Plan Category 4 - Residential, Medium Density. The proposed development is consistent with the goals of this category as it would provide residents with pedestrian friendly homes in close proximity to many amenities of the South End area and public transportation.

## 4. Parking

A total of three (3) parking spaces will be provided on site, two (2) single car garages and one (1) at grade parking space.

## 5. Other Applications / Procedural Steps

Applicant is seeking Special Permit and Historic Site and Architectural Plan approval pursuant to 7.3B. 2(a) and 19.D.

Special Permit and Site and Architectural Plan and Requested Uses approval from the Zoning Board are required to facilitate the critical reconstruction of a historic building. The project also requires a Coastal Plan Review and the applicant is applying to add the building to Stamford's Cultural Resources Inventory.
The special permits sought are as follows:

- Building Coverage- The RM-F zoning district allows a max of $30 \%$ building coverage for a maximum of 1,573 sf. Section 7.3 C .4 .d allows a $25 \%$ increase for a maximum of $1,966 \mathrm{sf}$. The proposed project calls for 1,820 sf.
- Density. The permitted density for the site is 2 units under RM-F regulations. The applicant request one additional unit per the $50 \%$ density bonus permitted under Section 7.3.C.4.a(2).
- Rear Setback. The R-MF zoning requires a min $30^{\prime}$ rear setback. Section 7.3.C.4.b allows $50 \%$ decrease, requiring a minimum $15^{\prime}$ from the rear line. The proposal provides a $23^{\prime}$ rear setback.
- Parking. Section 12 (Mobility) requires 1.5 parking space per $3+$ bedroom unit for a total requirement of 4.5 parking spaces. The application requests a ratio of 1 parking space per unit for a total of 3 spaces per Section 7.3.C.3.
- Light and Air requirement. The RM-F district requires a light and air setback of 20ft of which 10 ft is require on the Applicant's property. Section 7.3.C.4.e allows for a $50 \%$ reduction in the requirement to $5^{\prime}$. The proposal provides $8^{\prime}$ Light and Air setback on the east side of the building.


## 6. Conclusion

The proposed development of 16 Remington St. will preserve the historic character of the neighborhood by bringing demolished building to its prior shape. All details like windows and door types, although upgraded to energy efficiency standards shall remain the same in type and location. Front porch columns and other details shall be consistent with the original building. Exterior finish of the new development will fit into surrounding houses. Hardi cement siding to be used on both, reconstructed historic house and new townhouses. Color samples attached with architectural set A4.0

The applications are consistent with the land use and development goals of the City and, if approved, will provide a positive contribution to South End neighborhood.

## Statement of Findings

## Applicant: Dariusz Lesniewski

Location: 16 Remington St. Stamford CT


The following Statement of Findings is being submitted under the Special Permit Application for 16 Remington St. Stamford CT
a. Special permits shall be granted by the reviewing board only upon a finding that the proposed use or structure or the proposed extension or alteration of an existing use or structure is in accord with the public convenience and welfare after taking into account, where appropriate:
(1) The location and nature of the proposed site including its size and configuration, the proposed size, scale and arrangement of structures, drives and parking areas and the proximity of existing dwellings and other structures.

Response: Proposed building is consistent is size, type and exterior appearance with surrounding building on the same street. Moreover; the size and height of the proposed development meets the requirements of the neighborhoods R-MF zone. Most of the structures on the same street are typically multi-family dwellings. The site is in close proximity to public transportation, trains and the Harbor point area.
(2) the nature and intensity of the proposed used in relation to its site and the surrounding area. Operations in connection with special permit uses shall not be injurious to the neighborhood, shall be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of these Regulations, and shall not be more objectionable to nearby properties by reason of noise, fumes, vibration, artificial lighting or other disturbances to the health, safety or peaceful enjoyment of the property than the public necessity demands.

Response: The nature and intensity of the proposed structure is consistent with surrounding uses. Newly constructed building will significantly improve neighborhood conditions by replacing old, mostly damage building with new, energy efficient and environmental friendly housing. In addition, proposed building would not change nor increase the nature of the disturbances from its proposed use, since its use would remain unchanged.
(3) the resulting traffic patterns, the adequacy of existing streets to accommodate the traffic associated with the proposed use, the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading, and the extent to which proposed driveways may cause a safety hazard, or traffic nuisance.

Response: The proposed development would not have any significant impact on existing traffic conditions on Remington St. Existing driveway and road access remain unchanged; therefore, no safety hazard or traffic nuisance would increase during and after construction is finished.

Proposed plan provides three (3) off road parking spaces for its occupants. In addition, the neighborhood provides easy access to public transportation.
(4) The nature of the surrounding area and the extent to which the proposed use or feature might impair its present and future development.

Response: The proposed development would contribute to the use of the site without negatively impacting the surrounding area. The area is capable of absorbing residential development without precluding development of other sites.
(5) the Master Plan of the City of Stamford and all statements of the purpose and intent of these regulations.
Response: Our project meets the housing goals of the Master Plan by:
a. preserving the character of residential neighborhood
b. maintaining affordable housing
c. encouraging neighborhood revitalization

## Additional Finding Pursuant to Section 7.3 of the Zoning Regulations

No special exception shall be granted nor site plan approved pursuant to this Section until the Zoning Board has made a special finding that:
a) Said use and site plan is compatible with and implements the objectives and policies of Stamford's Master Plan;

Response: The property is located in Master Plan Category 4 - Residential, Medium Density Multifamily. The proposed development is consistent with the goals of this category. It is conveniently located near the train station which can attract young professionals. The close proximity to Harbor Point enhances the quality of life for our residents. It will also provide our residents with pedestrian-friendly homes in close proximity to the many amenities provided by the South End area including restaurants, parks and public access to the South End waterfront.
b) That said uses and site plan are preferred to a plan conforming to the standard dimensional requirements and use standards of the underlying zone and will not impair the future development of the surrounding area;

Response: As mentioned in Finding (4), proposed development would not negatively impact surrounding area. Proposed building is consistent is size, type and exterior appearance with surrounding building on the same street and it would only increase the attractiveness of the neighborhood.
c) That the proposed use(s) and site plan for development serve to preserve significant historic structure(s) and that the loss of said structure(s) would be detrimental to the neighborhood or district.

Response: The proposed plan includes restoring existing historic structure. The loss of this home would diminish the stock of historic buildings in the South End area.


## LANDSCAPE PLAN

FOR 16 REMINGTON STREET IN STAMFORD, CT by Aleksandra Moch, Landscape Designer, January 29, 2023






WINDOWS USED IN HISTORIC HOUSE
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ELEVATION SCHEDUL

LESNIEWSKI RESIDENCE 16 REMINGTON ST
STAMFORD, CT


1 RIGHT ELEVATION
Permit set
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RECONSTRUCTION OF EXISTING DWELLING "UNIT 1" AND PROPOSED TWO TOWNHOUSES "UNIT 2 and 3" FOR:

DARIUSZ LESNIEWSKI
16 REMINGTON ST.
STAMFORD CT 06902




