

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BOARD
CITY OF STAMFORD
MINUTES OF THE JUNE 19, 2014
REGULAR MEETING**

Members Present:

Gary Stone, Chairman
Louis Levine, Member
Stephen Wayne, Member
Leigh Shemitz, Member
Richard Rosenfeld, Esq., Member
Nathanial Bowler, Alternate Member

Members Not Present:

Bradford Spaulding, Alternate Member

Staff Present:

Richard H. Talamelli, Environmental Planner
Pam Fausty, Environmental Analyst

The Regular Meeting, which was called to order by Chairman Stone at 7:30 PM, was held in the Planning Board Conference Area, 7th Floor, Stamford, Government Center, 888 Washington Boulevard, Stamford, Connecticut, 06904-2152. The meeting was recorded.

Chairman Stone opened the meeting by introducing the members of the Board and Staff. A special acknowledgement was given to Richard Rosenfeld, Esq., who joins the EPB as a permanent member.

MINUTES

Minutes of the May 15, 2014 Regular Meeting of the Environmental Protection Board:

The Board considered the minutes of the EPB's May 15, 2014 Regular Meeting. No changes or alterations were recommended or discussed. Accordingly, upon a motion by Board Member Levine, the Board voted to **APPROVE** the Minutes of the May 15, 2014 Regular Meeting as presented.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne and Shemitz
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler and Rosenfeld

APPLICATIONS AND PERMITS

Chairman Stone noted that the first two (2) items on the agenda under "Applications and Permits" are closely linked to an item listed under "Site Plan Review." Mr. Stone suggested that for the sake of efficiency, that the Board consider reviewing all three (3) matters simultaneously. Accordingly, upon

Minutes
Environmental Protection Board
Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014
Page 2

a motion by Board Member Levine, the Board voted to **SUSPEND THE RULES** to revise the order of the agenda to consider EPB Permit Application No. 1402 (Eden Road, Lot 1, J.P. Ryan for A.R. Ryan), EPB Application No. 1403 (Eden Road, Lot 3, J.P. Ryan for A.R. Ryan) and EPB Site Plan Review No. 4001-2 (Eden Road, Lot 2, J.P. Ryan for A.R. Ryan) concurrently.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld.
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler

#1402 – Eden Road – Lot 1 – J.P. Ryan for A.R. Ryan: To construct a bridge, accessway, drainage, utilities and other related facilities in and proximate to wetlands and watercourses situated in the non-drinking water supply watershed of Springdale Brook. The property lies along the north side of Eden Road, approximately 650 feet west of Parry Road, and is designated as Lot 1, List 004-5457, Card N-008B, Block 382, Zone RA-1 and ± 1.2882 Acres.

#1403 – Eden Road – Lot 3 – J.P. Ryan for A.R. Ryan: To construct an accessway, drainage and other related facilities in and proximate to wetlands and watercourses situated in the non-drinking water supply watershed of Springdale Brook. The property lies along the north side of Eden Road, approximately 500 feet west of Parry Road, and is designated as Lot 3, List 002-3150, Card N-008, Block 382, Zone RA-1 and ± 1.4915 Acres.

#4001 – Eden Road – Lot 2 – J.P. Ryan for A.R. Ryan: Construction of a new single family dwelling, drive, drainage, septic system and appurtenances. A Site Plan Review for the development of this parcel is required pursuant to a Condition of Planning Board (Subdivision) No. 4001. The property lies along the north side of Eden Road, approximately 400 feet west of Parry Road, and is designated as Lot 2, List 004-5456, Card N-008A, Block 382, Zone RA-1 and ± 1.4376 Acres.

Reference is made to an EPB Staff Agenda Summary Report, dated June 12, 2014.

In Attendance: J. P. Ryan

Discussion: Staff Member Fausty summarized the applications for the Board. Ms. Fausty reminded the members that the parcels were the product of a subdivision application endorsed by the Environmental Protection Board and approved by the Planning Board in July 2012. On Lots 1 and 3, the proposed activities in regulated areas, including a new bridge crossing, drive, drainage and utilities triggered the need for inland wetland and watercourse permits from the Environmental Protection Board. On Lot 2, a condition of the subdivision approval prompted the need for a site plan review by the Environmental Protection Board. It was reported that approximately 200 square feet of wetland and 2,387 square feet of the upland review area shall be affected by the proposed development. Ms. Fausty noted that important resource impact, water quality, and drainage issues raised during the review process had been addressed with the submission of an arborist's evaluation of trees, a detailed erosion control plan, testing to demonstrate septic feasibility, and a drainage analysis that confirmed that development of the properties could be achieved with no adverse impact on drainage, adjoining properties or infrastructure. Staff noted that both the Health Department and

Minutes

Environmental Protection Board

Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014

Page 3

Engineering Bureau Staff have reviewed the submittals and endorsed the projects as proposed. To mitigate for the expected tree loss, stabilize the soil, and enhance the overall conservation values of the property, the applicant has provided a landscape/mitigation plan that provides for the removal of accumulated cut wood/debris and the installation of native planting.

Board Member Shemitz commented that the landscaping plan provided was both thorough and clear.

Chairman Stone reconfirmed that the Health Department completed its review and had endorsed the design of the subsurface sewage disposal systems proposed for the individual lots.

In response to Chairman Stone, John Ryan acknowledged receipt of the Agenda Summary Report, and offered no objection to any of the stated recommendations or conditions.

Motion/Vote: Upon a motion by Board Member Levine, the Board voted to **APPROVE** EPB Permit Application No. 1402 with the conditions outlined in the Agenda Summary Report, dated June 12, 2014.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler

Motion/Vote: Upon a motion by Board Member Levine, the Board voted to **APPROVE** EPB Permit Application No. 1403 with the conditions outlined in the Agenda Summary Report, dated June 12, 2014.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler

Motion/Vote: Upon a motion by Board Member Levine, the Board voted to **APPROVE** Site Plan Review No. 4001-2 with the conditions outlined in the Agenda Summary Report, dated June 12, 2014.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler

#1405 – 612 Scofieldtown Road – Lot 15 – City of Stamford, Engineering Bureau, Scofieldtown Park/Yard – Landfill Capping Project: To fill, grade, construct drainage, and implement other related improvements in and/or proximate to wetlands and watercourses situated in the non-drinking water supply watershed of Poorhouse Brook. Work shall be conducted in accordance with the general provisions of the “Landfill Closure Plan for Scofieldtown Park, Stamford, Connecticut”, and the requirements of Consent Order SRD-205 issued by the Connecticut DEEP in September 2010. The site lies along the west side of Scofieldtown Road, just north of Rock Rimmon Road, and is identified as Lot 15, List 002-5936, Card W-35, Zone RA-2, Block 390, and ±18.1 Acres.

Minutes
Environmental Protection Board
Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014
Page 4

Reference is made to an EPB Staff Agenda Summary Report dated June 10, 2014.

In Attendance: Jeff Brown, City of Stamford, Engineering Bureau
Gail Okun, Representative
Susan Nabel, Representative
Karen DeFalco
Lucille Limone
Alan Silberman
Jackie Kaiko

Discussion: Staff Member Talamelli summarized the application for the Board. He reminded the Members that the City of Stamford, under the provisions of Consent Order Number SRD-205 issued by the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP), has been directed to develop and implement closure plans for landfill areas at Scofieldtown Park/Yard. The submitted plans, developed by a Connecticut Engineer and other Environmental Professionals, seek to satisfy the conditions of the consent order by providing for the installation of a suitable “cap” to reduce infiltration, promote slope stability, provide proper drainage, and lessen the potential for long term water quality impacts. Mr. Talamelli noted that the capping project was phased. In March 2014, the Environmental Protection Board approved the first phase which provided for the removal of vegetation from those portions of the site that would be subject to the capping activities. Work under the initial phase was time sensitive given the roosting habits of one or more species of bat that may be linked to the area. Mr. Talamelli noted that in April/May 2014, the trees were felled. The stumps were allowed to remain in place until the project design was advanced and fully permitted. Under the second phase, the applicant seeks the Board’s permission to implement the essential components of the project including, but not limited to the removal of the stumps, modification of existing drainage structures/waterways, regrading of landfill slopes, the installation of a multi-layer, low permeability “cap”, the construction of surface drainage, the creation of an aggregate access road, the application of surface suitable treatments/landscaping, and the installation of certain facilities to further the use of the property. Planned site uses may include a refurbished “City Yard” used for basic City service/maintenance functions including a new salt storage barn, a paved leaf transfer area, remote office, and materials recycling area. Additional planned uses may also include enhanced recreational facilities including one or more sport courts, a playscape, elevated and grade level walkways, paved parking and other related features. The applicant reports that the project will affect approximately 34,220 square feet of wetlands, 773 linear feet of watercourse, 26,557 square feet of open water, and 62,969 square feet of the upland review area.

Staff Member Talamelli noted that pertinent issues considered under the application include drainage, water quality and the value of the measures proposed to mitigate for the unavoidable loss of wetlands, watercourses and other important resources.

Drainage is comprised of two (2) systems. The first is an infiltration management system more closely linked to the “cap” and underlying geo-membrane. A series of perforated interceptor drains shall be installed to collect and transmit any excessive storm water that may infiltrate the soil and accumulate along the upper surface of the membrane. It is reported that accumulating storm water can destabilize or otherwise negatively impact the membrane and other pertinent components of the “cap.” The second is a storm water conveyance system to better collect and manage surface waters from both on-site and offsite sources. The applicant’s plan provides for the removal/decommissioning of existing

Minutes
Environmental Protection Board
Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014
Page 5

underground piping, grading, and the installation of a series of structures/features to redirect flows away from the landfill, reduce potential sources of ponding, and provide positive drainage. The engineer has confirmed that the project, if implemented per the design plan, will result in an overall reduction in site imperviousness due to the anticipated removal of existing pavement. Accordingly, the engineer has concluded that there will be an overall reduction in peak flows from the site without the need for additional storm water detention storage. The Engineering Bureau has endorsed the conclusions of the drainage report and the overall design of the drainage systems.

To promote water quality both during and post construction, the project engineer has provided temporary and permanent sediment and erosion control plans, independent, qualified inspection and reporting on the condition of the control measures on a weekly basis and within 24 hours of a storm of 0.1 inches or greater, a construction sequencing plan, storm water collection devices constructed with minimum two (2) foot sumps and outlet controls, structured oil/grit separators, and water quality basins. The basins shall be lined with a typical bio-retention media and landscaped with plantings to filter and cool runoff prior to discharge. Basins have been sized to accommodate the so-called "first flush" of storm water. Maintenance procedures for the water quality basins have been detailed on the plans including semi-annual inspection, sediment removal, the removal of woody growth from within the basin/downstream embankments, seasonal mowing, and other related measures. Finally, a salt barn has been proposed to replace the existing dome. The new structure will continue to protect a salt supply from the elements.

Conservation matters have been addressed with the submission of a comprehensive landscaping plan to stabilize the soil, mitigate for the loss of resources, improve aesthetics, and enhance the overall conservation values of the property. An arborist conducted a visual inspection of trees situated along the site perimeter, and has offered recommendations to preserve and enhance valued individual or groups of trees. It is noted that at least three (3) of the trees originally expected to be maintained warrant removal due to structural defect.

Gail Okun, Susan Nable, Karen DeFalco, Lucille Limone, Alan Silberman, and Jackie Kaiko addressed the Board. The residents expressed great concern about the intensity of the clearing and the significant aesthetic impact the project has on neighborhoods. Furthermore, residents were troubled by the perceived "disconnect" between City Officials and the public on many matters including tree clearing in and about the public roadways, the details of the final landfill landscape plan, the duration of the landfill capping project, and the decision making process relating to final end-use.

The Board urged Jeff Brown, the project manager, to hold additional public information sessions to address the many questions and concerns raised by the public during this meeting.

Motion/Vote: Upon a motion by Board Member Shemitz, the Board voted to **APPROVE** EPB Permit Application No. 1405 with the conditions outlined in the Agenda Summary Report, dated June 10, 2014.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler

Minutes

Environmental Protection Board

Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014

Page 6

Corporation (Trinity Catholic High School): To construct a new synthetic turf multi-purpose athletic field, renovate an existing natural turf softball field, install drainage, and erect other related facilities proximate to wetlands and watercourses situated in the non-drinking water supply watershed of Toilsome Brook. The site lies along the west side of Newfield Avenue, approximately 1200 feet south of Vine Road, and is identified as Lot 21, List 002-6637, Card W-052, Zone RA-1/R-20, Block 324, and ±23.3 Acres.

Reference is made to an EPB Staff Memo, dated June 14, 2014.

In Attendance: Paul Tusch, Esq.
Nicholas Vitti, Jr. Esq.
Matt Popp
John Amato, P.E.
Anthony Gaglio

Discussion: Staff Member Fausty summarized the application for the Board. Activities proposed by the applicant include a new synthetic turf, multi-purpose athletic field, improvements to the existing natural turf softball field, drainage and other related activities. It has been reported that the work will affect approximately 5,970 square feet of the upland review area. Ms. Fausty noted that the regulated areas consist of wetlands, watercourses and adjoining uplands situated within twenty-five (25) feet. Ms. Fausty noted that important resource impact, water quality, and drainage issues raised during the review process had been addressed with the submission of a detailed site plan, erosion control plan, and a drainage analysis that confirmed that development could be achieved with no adverse impact on drainage, soils or the adjoining properties. Staff noted that Engineering Bureau Staff had reviewed the submittals and endorsed the project as proposed. To stabilize the soil, and enhance the overall conservation values of the property, the applicant has provided a landscape/mitigation plan that provides for the removal of accumulated debris, the removal and treatment of invasives, and the application of native planting including numerous ferns, shrubs and trees.

In response to questioning by Board Member Shemitz concerning potential impacts from field runoff, John Amato, P.E. noted that the design does not provide for a direct discharge to wetlands and watercourses. Runoff from underdrains flow to a level spreader and then travels overland through vegetated space before discharging to the regulated areas. In addition, the drainage system is equipped with traps where accumulated sands, silts, and other related debris can accumulate and be cleared under a standard maintenance agreement. He noted that the school understands that maintenance is an important part of the program.

In response to Chairman Stone, Paul Tusch, Esq. acknowledged receipt of the Agenda Summary Report, and offered no objection to any of the stated recommendations or conditions.

Motion/Vote: Upon a motion by Board Member Levine, the Board voted to **APPROVE** EPB Permit Application No. 1409 with the conditions outlined in the Agenda Summary Report, dated June 14, 2014.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None

Minutes
Environmental Protection Board
Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014
Page 7

Not Voting: Bowler

#1414 – 1214 Long Ridge Road - Lot 33C – I. Strezoski: To construct a deck and other related facilities proximate to wetlands on property situated within the non-drinking water watershed of the Rippowam River. The site lies along the west side of Long Ridge Road, approximately 90 feet south of Midrocks Drive, and is identified as Lot 33C, List 000-1447, Zone RA-1, Block 375, and ± 1.000 acre.

Reference is made to an EPB Staff Memo, dated June 18, 2014.

#1415 – Oakdale Road – City of Stamford, Engineering Bureau (Oakdale Road Drainage Improvement Project): To replace an existing culvert system, and install drainage structures, rip rap, head walls, end walls, and other related facilities in and/or proximate to wetlands, watercourses and the base floodplain of the Noroton River (non-drinking water supply watershed). The project area lies in and proximate to Oakdale Road, approximately 240 feet north of Glen Terrace, in the vicinity of property situated at 54, 64, 53 and 59 Oakdale Road, Stamford, Connecticut.

Reference is made to an EPB Staff Memo dated June 6, 2014.

#1416 – 316 Erskine Road – Lot 2 – K. Crossman for C. Johns and E. Coleman, Jr.: To reconstruct a wood deck and other related facilities within close proximity wetlands, watercourses and a designated open space preserve situated in the drinking water supply watershed of the Mianus River. Access to the property lies along the east side of Erskine Road, across the road, and approximately 500 feet south of Gun Club Road, and is designated as Lot 2, List 003-2746, Card S-019B, Block 399, Zone RA-2 and $1.4524 \pm$ Acres.

Reference is made to and EPB Staff Memo, dated June 12, 2014.

#1417 – 233 Saw Mill Road – Tract A-31 – A. P. Strazza, III for G. Kunz.: To install a generator and in-ground propane tank within close proximity wetlands, watercourses and designated flood hazard areas situated in the drinking water supply watershed of the Mianus River. The property lies along the west side of Saw Mill Road, approximately 1080 feet south of Wind Mill Circle, and is designated as Tract A-31, List 001-1488, Card N-017, Block 394, Zone RA-1 and $1.4495 \pm$ Acres.

Reference is made to and EPB Staff Memo, dated June 12, 2014.

In Attendance: None

Discussion: Chairman Stone acknowledged the receipt of the minimum information necessary to initiate the permit application review process for EPB Permit Applications No. 1414, 1415, 1416 and 1417.

Motion/Vote: Upon a motion by Board Member Shemitz, the Board voted to **ACCEPT** EPB Permit Applications No. 1414, 1415, 1416 and 1417.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None

Not Voting: Bowler

SITE PLAN REVIEWS:

#3935 – Nathan Hale Drive – Parcel B-R – N. Kukharkin: Construction of a new, five (5) bedroom, single family dwelling, drive, drainage, septic, public water, in-ground pool, and other related facilities. A site plan review for the development of this parcel is required pursuant to Condition Four (4) of Planning Board (Subdivision Application) No. 3935. The subject property lies along the south side of Nathan Hale Drive, approximately 325 feet east of Westover Road, and is identified as Parcel B-R, List 004-4103, Block 368, Zone RA-1, and ± 1.0012 acres.

Reference is made to and EPB Staff Memo, dated June 12, 2014.

In Attendance: Leonard D'Andrea, P.E., Rocco V. D'Andrea, Inc.

Discussion: The application was summarized for the Board by Staff Member Talamelli. The applicant proposes to construct a new, five (5) bedroom, single family dwelling, drive, drainage, septic, public water, in-ground pool, and other related facilities on the parcel. By a condition of the subdivision approval, development plans are subject to a Site Plan Review by the Environmental Protection Board prior to the start of site activity. Mr. Talamelli noted that the property is minimally developed, supporting only an in-ground pool, patio, fences, a shed and other related features. The parcel is characterized by gently to moderately sloping uplands, a few ledge outcroppings, several large trees, and portions of a designated conservation easement. The easement, which lies along the southern boundary, was established at the time of subdivision to preserve several large trees, provide screening, and supply an adequately sized, plantable space between parcels. There are no wetlands, watercourses, flood prone space or other regulated areas on the parcel.

Mr. Talamelli stated that under the EPB Site Plan Review process, the applicant must convince the Board that individual development plans are generally consistent with those supplied at the time of subdivision, that the project limits its impact upon the existing character of the land/resources, that proper drainage attenuating measures shall be applied, that appropriate erosion and water quality controls shall be implemented, that subsurface sewage disposal is feasible, and that functional conservation enhancements shall be installed. Staff went on to note that the project, as proposed, appears to address the major requirements of the site plan review process and subdivision. Development limits/setbacks are generally consistent with those previously depicted, grade change has been maintained in the low to moderate range, and septic feasibility has been established under the supervision of the Stamford Health Department. In regards to drainage, it was reported that the project engineer supplied an analysis finding that the development envelope shall be slightly larger than that envisioned at the time of subdivision, therefore increasing the amount of impervious coverage and peak rate of runoff for most storm events. To mitigate the anticipated increase, the applicant proposes to create/manage post construction watersheds through grading and the installation of additional structured drainage features, including pipes, manholes, catch basins, and twelve (12) Cul-Tech infiltrators. The overflow from this system shall be directed to the existing drainage system stubbed to the south property boundary. If constructed per the submitted plans, the engineer has concluded that the proposed development will decrease the peak rate of runoff at all points of concern for the 25-year design storm. Accordingly, the engineer has concluded that the development of Parcel B-R will not adversely impact drainage, infrastructure and the adjoining properties. The Engineering

Minutes

Environmental Protection Board

Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014

Page 9

Bureau had endorsed study conclusions and design. To reduce the potential for water quality impact, the applicant has developed a detailed sediment and erosion control plan, prohibited the use of in-ground fuel oil storage, utilized drainage structures to assist in the collection of sands, silts and debris prior to discharge, and provided for the use of infiltration units to seize upon the soil's natural ability to treat and cool runoff prior to discharge. To mitigate for the prior tree loss and address the overall conservation needs of the site, the applicant has provided for the protection of remaining trees of value, and supplied planting plans to enhance the aesthetic and conservation value of the streetscape, conservation easement and overall site. Mr. Talamelli noted that the planting plans warrant some minor revisions and consolidation to further their value and ensure clarity at the time of implementation

In response to a question posed by Chairman Stone, Leonard D'Andrea, the project engineer, noted that he had received a copy of the agenda summary report and offers no objection to the statements or recommended conditions of approval noted therein.

Motion/Vote: Upon a motion by Board Member Levine, the Board voted to **APPROVE** EPB Site Plan Review No. 3935-BR with the conditions outlined in and EPB Staff Memo, dated June 12, 2014.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler

SUBDIVISION REVIEWS:

ENFORCEMENT – STATUS REPORTS AND SHOW CAUSE HEARINGS:

678 Erskine Road - Lot C – L. Rizzuto, Jr.: Removal of vegetation, excavation, grading and other alterations of land areas in and/or proximate to wetlands and watercourses situated in the drinking water supply watershed of the Mianus River. The subject property lies along the north side of Erskine Road, approximately 800 feet west of Riverbank Road, and is identified as Lot C, List 001-6025, Card S-37, Block 399, Zone RA-2, and ± 11.1561 acres.

Reference is made to an EPB Staff Memo, dated June 19, 2014.

In Attendance: Leonard D'Andrea, P.E., Rocco V. D'Andrea, Inc.
John Leydon, Esq.
Gail Okun, Representative

Discussion: The matter was summarized for the Board by Staff Member Talamelli. He stated that violations of the "Inland Wetland and Watercourse Regulations of the City of Stamford" were recently remedied in accordance with a restoration proposal titled "Habitat Enhancement Plan," 678 Erskine Road, Stamford, Connecticut, Prepared for Ridgemere Farm, Leandro Rizzuto, Jr., by William Kenny Associates, LLC, revised May 22, 2014. The restoration plan provided for the removal and regrading of fill to restore wetland hydrology, the removal and proper disposal of accumulated debris, the removal of pipes, restoration of watercourse channels, and the application of native vegetation to restore wetland, function, filter runoff, stabilize the soil and enhance the overall conservation values of the parcel. The work was inspected by EPB Staff and certified as complete

Minutes
Environmental Protection Board
Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014
Page 10

by the supervising engineering and environmental professionals. Accordingly, Staff recommended that the Board act to withdraw the cease and desist orders and to file a notice of compliance on the Stamford Land Records. Mr. Talamelli noted that per the direction of the Law Department, that the final notice of compliance be held by the Law Department until certain claims for fines/costs are satisfactorily resolved.

Board Member Shemitz stated that given the scale of the project and gravity of the violations, additional time is warranted to review and evaluate the submitted photographs and other documentation provided to the Board at this evening's meeting. She recommended that the matter be deferred.

Board Member Rosenfeld agreed that additional time is needed to give the matter a thorough review.

Leonard D'Andrea, P.E. apologized for the delay in bringing the matter to a proper resolution, but wanted the Board to note that the corrective actions were given a great deal of time and attention by both his firm and that of the consulting environmental professional. He is confident that the corrective actions, including the fill removal, debris removal and mitigation planting were complete, and that the conditions are such that the Board could withdraw the order and allow for the transfer of property to a new owner.

John Leydon, Esq. stated that he represents the prospective owner of the property. His client appreciates the Board concerns, has a clear understanding of the project history, and wishes for nothing more than the corrective actions be acceptable and complete.

Motion/Vote: Upon a motion by Board Member Wayne, the Board voted to **DEFER** action on a request to withdraw cease and desist orders and file and notice of compliance on the Stamford Land Records.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler

OTHER BUSINESS:

#1006 - East Middle Patent Road – Lot 11 – T. Hanna – Extension of EPB Permit No. 1006:
Construction of a new single family dwelling, with associated septic system, driveway, swimming pool, drainage improvements, stone walls, and site grading in and/or proximate to wetlands and watercourses situated in the drinking water supply watershed of the Barch Reservoir/Mianus River. The property lies along the north side of East Middle Patent Road, approximately 350 east of Taconic Road, and is identified as Lot 11, List 002-1314, Card W002, Block 400, Zone RA-3, and ± 2.02 Acres.

Reference is made to an EPB Memo, dated June 16, 2014.

In Attendance: None

Discussion: Chairman Stone acknowledged to the receipt of a letter from Tim Hanna, TMA

Minutes
Environmental Protection Board
Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014
Page 11

Construction, LLC, (May 7, 2014) requesting an extension of the effective period of EPB Permit No. 1006. Staff Member Fausty confirmed that the request has been submitted in accordance with Section 7.4 of the Regulations, that there were no reported violations on the property, that conditions have not changed as to warrant a reevaluation, and that the permit were routinely and legally issued. Accordingly, it was recommended that the Board approve the extension request for a period of one (1) year.

Motion/Vote: Upon a motion by Board Member Levine, the Board voted to **EXTEND** the effective period of EPB Permit No. 1006 for a period of one (1) year until June 28, 2015.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld.
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler

ADJOURN:

Adjourn the Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014

There being no more business, the Board, upon a motion by Board Member Rosenfeld, the Board voted to **ADJOURN** the Regular Meeting of June 19, 2014.

In Favor: Stone, Levine, Wayne, Shemitz, and Rosenfeld
Opposed: None
Abstaining: None
Not Voting: Bowler

Meeting adjourned at 9:17 PM.

Gary Stone, Chairman
Environmental Protection Board

Minutes: Prepared from meeting notes taken by Richard H. Talamelli, Environmental Planner